

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/113,446	07/10/1998	GREGG WAGNER	003470.P005	8055	
7.	590 12/31/2003	EXAMINER			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ANDERSON, GERALD A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$\sim$ /				
<del></del>		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/	113,446	WAGNER ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
•		I	RY A ANDERSON	3637					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	inication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress				
THE MA - Extensi after SE - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI ons of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of the maximum that the maximum to reply specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months of the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for reply attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nmunication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apply bly will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a repl the statutory minimum of thirty (: / and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely IS from the mailing date of this co NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>y.</i> ommunication.				
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>30 June 2</u>	<u>003</u> .						
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.						
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□ 0	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or elec	tion requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
,—	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a) ☐ 2 3 * Se 13) ☐ Ad sin 37 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some color None of Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copies application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action of a claim ce a specific reference was included the company of the foreign.  The translation of the foreign.	ty documents have ty documents have ty documents have so of the priority detional Bureau (PC tion for a list of the for domestic priority ded in the first self-anguage provision	re been received. The been received in Application of the been received in Application for the been received in Application has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of the spec	plication No eceived in this National eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional tion or in an Application en received.	I application) Data Sheet.				
14)□ Ad ref	knowledgment is made of a clain erence was included in the first s	n for domestic price entence of the sp	ority under 35 U.S.C. § ecification or in an App	§ 120 and/or 121 since lication Data Sheet. 37	a specific CFR 1.78.				
A 440 - 1	a)								
2) D Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			mmary (PTO-413) Paper Not ormal Patent Application (PTo					

Application/Control Number: 09/113,446

Art Unit: 3637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Seo and Pitel. Schmidt is cited showing a housing 1 having a hollow body portion 2 including a handle portion 9B having a battery compartment 32, an enclosure portion 9A and a scanner face 9G, 12 with a tongue connecting to a grooved enclosure aperture and an end cap 9F.

Application/Control Number: 09/113,446

Art Unit: 3637

Schmidt fails to show the body portion as a **one-piece**, hollow body portion, a tongue with a T-shaped cross section or a gasket. Generally it is considered an obvious mater of design choice to make separate parts integral. Herein, Seo is cited showing a housing having an integrally formed handle portion 21 and a head 22. Pitel is cited showing plastic parts having a T-shaped tongue and groove connection for the purpose of securing the parts. Chadima, Jr. et al is cited showing a hand-held housing with parts 41 and 42 connected by tongue and groove having gaskets 100, 106, 109, 125 for the purpose of sealing the connections between parts. Since the references are from the same field of endeavor the purpose of Seo, Chadima and Pitel would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Schmidt at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schmidt with a housing molded as one-piece from plastic material for the purpose providing a lightweight housing in view of Seo, with parts having a T-shaped tongue and groove connection for the purpose of securing the parts a in view of Pitel and with connected parts having a gasket for the purpose of sealing the connection in view of Chadima.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 308 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 2468. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Art **U**nit: 3637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa

December 19, 2003

GERALD A. ANDERSON PATENT EXAMINER